

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KIRIBATI
(BEFORE B SUTTILL C.)

HCLA 96/91

BETWEEN: N. TERABATA GROVES Appellant
AND: TABWEA TEITINIMAN Respondent

J U D G M E N T

The appellant is not present today. She is in the U.S.A., at an unknown address. She appears to have taken no steps since filing her appeal nine days after the decision was handed down.

However since then the respondents have vacated the land in the name of good fellowship, even though they won the case below. They have also removed all the structures they had erected and made good the land.

These are two of the matters complained of by the appellant in the court below and for which she asked the magistrates to provide a remedy.

As I have said, the respondents have provided two of the remedies sought below, on their own volition.

We think therefore that the justice of the case will be met by dismissing the appeal for want of prosecution.



B. SUTTILL
Commissioner
31/1/1996



TEKAI E TENANORA
MAGISTRATE
(31/1/96)



BETERO KAITANGARE
MAGISTRATE
(31/1/96)