## IN THE HIGH COURT OF THE COOK ISLANDS HELD AT RAROTONGA (LAND DIVISION)

## **APPLICATION NO. 343/18**

IN THE MATTER

of the Land known as Vaitamanga-ki-Uta

Section 108, Arorangi, Rarotonga

AND

IN THE MATTER

of Application 425/2000 for an Order

determining the title to Vaitamanga-ki-Uta

Section 108, Arorangi

AND

IN THE MATTER

of Application 238/2018 seeking to correct

an Order sealed on March 2018 by the Deputy

Registrar in Application 425/2000

AND

IN THE MATTER

of Sections 421, 422 and 390A of the Cook

Islands Act 1915

AND

IN THE MATTER

of Sections 43 and 44 of the Judicature Act

1980-81

AND

IN THE MATTER

of Rule 158 of the Code of Civil Procedure of

the High Court 1981

**AND** 

IN THE MATTER

of the said Applications by **JOSEPH TAORO, DANIEL NGAMETUA ENUA** 

MATAROA, OAKIRANGI ERAIO and

others

**Applicants** 

## **TAUEI KONITANITAI** and others

**First Respondents** 

JON JONASSEN and MEREANA WOLFGRAM (nee SOLOMON) and others in the Tauei Konitanitai Clan

**Second Respondents** 

Hearing commenced: 1 October 2018

Appearances:

Mr D Mataroa for the Applicants

Mr T Moore for the First Respondents Mr P Lynch for the Second Respondents

Decision:

9 October 2018

## **DECISION OF SAVAGE J**

[1] I have reconsidered this file in the light of Mr Mataroa's submissions. He is to be commended for taking me straight to the point and for focusing on those matters relevant to his case shorn of anything that was irrelevant.

[2] The matter has a degree of urgency in that there are a number of matters that the owners wish to expedite. It is, therefore, very important to know who the owners in fact are.

[3] This land has occupied some time before this Court in this session during which I ordered that a sealed Order be corrected to reflect the true intention of this Court in 2001, when the Order for investigation that is in issue in this application was made.

[4] Mr Mataroa's challenge to that Order seeks a substitute Order reflecting what might have been the situation two generations earlier than that considered by Smith J in 2001. He seeks an exponentially enlarged list of owners with correspondingly smaller relative interests.

[5] I have reached a decision but do not have the time or resources to produce a fully settled statement of the reasons for that decision before I leave Rarotonga.

[6] That being the case I believe it is in the best interests of the parties that they know my decision and await a full judgment to be available in the near future.

[7] The application is dismissed. A judgment with reasons will follow.

Wednesday the 10th Day of Detale.

PJ Savage, J